## Science and technology prospects for ultra-cold atoms

Mark Kasevich Stanford University kasevich@stanford.edu

Nov. 2002

- Atom de Broglie wave sensors
- S&T impact
- BEC impact
- Correlated atom systems

# Atom de Broglie wave sensors

#### CAMOS Nov. 2002

## **Position information**

Problem: How obtain precise position information without GPS?

Next generation Inertial Navigation System (INS) solution: Improved INS may enable accurate global positioning without external reference signals

Current INS limitations:

- gyroscope drift (angle random walk)
- gravity compensation
- system cost and complexity

Atom de Brogliewave interference sensors address these current limitations

## Existing high-accuracy technology Nov. 2002



19,000 parts

\$300K/accelerometer in '89

1970 technology. 2001, 652 units ordered.

Source: www.fas.org

Existing systems:

 Triad of gyroscopes (mechanical)

CAMOS

- Triad of accelerometers
- Precision gimbal mounts

## Gravity assisted-navigation with atom interferometric (AI) sensors

AI acceleration sensors offer breakthrough bias-stability and scale-factor stability

- enables high accuracy gravity gradiometry
- enables all-accelerometer-based gravity compensated navigation
- 3 year transition to field-tested systems. Leverage NIMA and Navy investments.



*Conept design for gravity compensated IMU* 



Cut-away view illustrating core sensor component: a Cs vapor cell. Not shown: control electronics.



Concept design

2.75"x1.75".

10<sup>-8</sup> g/Hz<sup>1/2</sup>

accelerometer

for

2-axis

#### AI sensor applications

CAMOS

Nov. 2002

Gravity compensated navigation Map-matching Real-time gravity anomaly correction for INS

Gravity anomaly characterization Underground facility detection

#### Strategic platforms

Precision munitions Submarine/surface ship Land vehicles Helicopter/fixed wing aircraft ULDB Balloon flight Satellite constellation

Commercial/civilian applications Satellite geodesy Earthquake prediction Water table monitoring Oil/mineral exploration

## Core sensor technology: High accuracy accelerometers

Light-pulse AI accelerometers:

Scale Factor stability: 10<sup>-12</sup>

Bias stability: <10<sup>-10</sup> g



CAMOS

Nov. 2002

1000x improvement over state-of-the-art in these key sensor parameters.

Laboratory realizations at Stanford and Yale.

## Interferometric sensors

#### **Optical Interferometry**



Litton Ring Laser Gyroscope



Fibersense Fiberoptic Gyroscope



 Future atom opticsbased sensors may outperform existing inertial sensors by a factor of 10<sup>6</sup>.

CAMOS

Nov. 2002

 Current (laboratory) atom optics-based sensors outperform existing sensors by a factor of 10<sup>2</sup>.



### Young's double slit with atoms







#### Interference fringes

(a) (b) (c)



One of the first experiments to demonstrate de Broglie wave interference with atoms, 1991

## Atom interferometer force sensors

## The quantum mechanical wave-like properties of atoms can be used to sense inertial forces.

#### **Gravity/Accelerations**

As atom climbs gravitational potential, velocity decreases and wavelength increases



#### **Rotations**

Rotations induce path length differences by shifting the positions of beam splitting optics

CAMOS

Nov. 2002



## Enabling Science: Laser Cooling

Laser cooling techniques are used to achieve the required velocity (wavelength) control for the atom source.



Laser cooling: Laser light is used to cool atomic vapors to temperatures of  $\sim 10^{-6}$  deg K.

Image source: www.nobel.se/physics



The Nobel Prize in Physics 1997

CAMOS

Nov. 2002

"for development of methods to cool and trap atoms with laser light"



## **Enabling Science: BEC/Atom Lasers**

#### CAMOS Nov. 2002



**Bose-Einstein** Condensation of a dilute Rb atomic vapor

> 1<sup>st</sup> Atom Laser, MIT





"for the achievement of Bose-Einstein condensation in dilute gases of alkali atoms, and for early fundamental studies of the properties of the condensates"







Eric A. Cornell

Wolfgang Ketterle

Carl E. Wieman

ø	۲	۲	
USA	Germany	USA	
JILA and National Institute of Standards & Technology (NIST) Boulder, CO, USA	Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) Cambridge, MA, USA	JILA and University of Colorado Boulder, CO, USA	
1961 -	1957 -	1951 -	

#### 2001 Nobel Prize!

## Stanford laboratory gravimeter



*Courtesy of S. Chu, Stanford* 

Monitoring of local gravity using T = 400 ms fringes

CAMOS

Nov. 2002



Raman sideband cooling used to achieve very long interrogation times (200 nK launch temperature!)

## Stanford/Yale laboratory gravity gradiometer



Distinguish gravity induced accelerations from those due to platform motion with differential acceleration measurements.

 $(2.8 \times 10^{-9} \text{ g/Hz}^{1/2} \text{ per} accelerometer})$ 

CAMOS

Nov. 2002

### Stanford/Yale Gravity Gradiometer: Measurement of G





Pb mass translated vertically along gradient measurement axis.

CAMOS

Nov. 2002

Typical data:

~ 1x10<sup>-8</sup> g change in acceleration due to gravitational forces for different Pb positions

#### CAMOS Nov. 2002

### Measurement of G



Systematic	$\frac{8G}{G}$
Initial Atom Velocity	$1.88\times 10^{-3}$
Initial Atom Position	$1.85\times 10^{-3}$
Pb Magnetic Field Gradients	$1.00  imes 10^{-3}$
Rotations	$0.98  imes 10^{-3}$
Source Positioning	$0.82  imes 10^{-3}$
Source Mass Density	$0.36  imes 10^{-3}$
Source Mass Dimensions	$0.34  imes 10^{-3}$
Gravimeter Separation	$0.19  imes 10^{-3}$
Source Mass Density inhomogeneity	$0.16  imes 10^{-3}$
TOTAL	$3.15  imes 10^{-3}$

Present sensitivity/accuracy:  $\delta G = 3 \times 10^{-3} G$ 

Measurement consistent with accepted value

## Stanford/Yale laboratory gyroscope



AI gyroscope, demonstrated laboratory performance:

2x10<sup>-6</sup> deg/hr<sup>1/2</sup> ARW

 $< 10^{-4}$  deg/hr bias stability

*Compact, fieldable (navigation) and dedicated very high-sensitivity (Earth rotation dynamics, tests of GR) geometries possible.* 



CAMOS



## Stanford h/m







## Science and Technology Applications

## Airborne GG validation: BHP FALCON program

#### Existing technology







CAMOS

Nov. 2002

Al sensors potentially offer 10 x – 100 x improvement in detection sensitivity at reduced instrument costs.

#### CAMOS Nov. 2002

# Underground structure detection

*Gravity gradiometers can detect underground structures via their gravitational signatures.* 

AI appears to be sole sensor technology capable of meeting stringent sensitivity and accuracy requirements.





Strategic moving platforms for gravity gradiometry:

- Helicopter/UAV platform
- Satellite reconnaisance (?)
- Truck



## **Tunnel detection**



300 250 200 Height (m) 0.1 E/(Hz)<sup>1/2</sup> 150 100  $1 \, \text{E}/(\text{Hz})^{1/2}$ 50  $10 \text{ E}/(\text{Hz})^{1/2}$ 0 50 100 150 200 0 Velocity (mph)

Tunnel model: 5 m x 5 m tunnel

 $\delta \rho = 3 \text{ g/cm}^3$ 

Field-ready 1 E/Hz<sup>1/2</sup> instrument currently under development for truck/helicopter/aircraft platform

#### CAMOS Nov. 2002

## Geodesy



Accelerometer sensitivity:  $10^{-13}$  g/Hz<sup>1/2</sup> – Long free-fall times in orbit

Measurement baseline

- 100 m (ISS)
- 100 km (Satellite constellation)

#### Sensitivity:

- $-10^{-4}$  E/Hz<sup>1/2</sup> (ISS)
- $-10^{-7}$  E/Hz<sup>1/2</sup> (Satellite constellation)

*Earthquake; water table monitoring (collaboration with T. Parsons, USGS)* 

#### 30' Mean Gravity Anomalies: EGM96 (Nmax=360)



http://www.esa.int/export/esaLP/goce.html



## Test of General Relativity

Lorentz-like force law:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} &= \vec{g} + \frac{\vec{v}}{c} \times \vec{H} \\ \vec{H} &= \frac{2G}{c} \left[ \vec{S} - \frac{\vec{S} \cdot \hat{r}}{r^3} \hat{r} \right] \end{aligned}$$

S is angular momentum of rotating body

Basic idea: Compare rotation inferred from astrophysical observations to atom interferometer gyro signal.



Ground-based

10<sup>-14</sup> rad/sec rotation sensitivity required



## Equivalence Principle

Compare relative acceleration of Cs and Rb atoms (or two Rb isotopes) using AI methods.

Constrain possible "new physics" beyond Standard Model at unprecedented levels.

10<sup>-13</sup> g/Hz<sup>1/2</sup> differential acceleration sensitivity appears feasible on ISS/free-flyer (in collaboration with L. Maleki, JPL through NASA Fund. Phys./flight definition)

RECENT theory: "Little String Theory at a TeV", I. Antoniadis, S. Dimopoulos, A. Giveon, hep-th/0103033, 2002.

> Dimopoulos: "More speculative than extradimensions...."

## Navigation



High-accuracy IMU with gravity compensation under development for Trident submarine navigation.

Array of 3-axis accelerometers on rigid platform

- In-line differential acceleration measurements along independent axes allow discrimination of angular accelerations from gravity gradients
- Integrate angular acceleration to correct for centrigual perturbations

Long-term vision: low-cost, high-reliability gravity compensated IMU's.





2<sup>nd</sup> generation proof-ofconcept instrument. Brown = laser beams; Grey = vacuum cell

## Navigation with accelerometer arrays



Allows for gravity anomaly and platform position determination.

CAMOS

Nov. 2002

High accuracy gyroscopes may not be needed.

# Differential acceleration measurements

Differential acceleration measurements contains terms due to rotations and angular accelerations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}_{1x} - \mathbf{f}_{0x} \\ \mathbf{f}_{1y} - \mathbf{f}_{0y} \\ \mathbf{f}_{1z} - \mathbf{f}_{0z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\left(\Gamma_{xx} + \Omega_{y}^{2} + \Omega_{z}^{2}\right) & \mathbf{\Omega}_{j} \\ \dot{\Omega}_{z} - \left(\Gamma_{xy} - \Omega_{x}\Omega_{y}\right) & \mathbf{\Omega}_{j} \\ -\dot{\Omega}_{y} - \left(\Gamma_{xz} - \Omega_{x}\Omega_{z}\right) & \boldsymbol{\rho}_{j} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$- \frac{\dot{\Omega}_{z}}{\dot{\Omega}_{z}} - \left(\Gamma_{xy} - \Omega_{x}\Omega_{y}\right) & \dot{\Omega}_{y} - \left(\Gamma_{xz} - \Omega_{x}\Omega_{z}\right) \\ - \left(\Gamma_{yy} + \Omega_{x}^{2} + \Omega_{z}^{2}\right) & -\dot{\Omega}_{x} - \left(\Gamma_{yz} - \Omega_{y}\Omega_{z}\right) \\ \dot{\Omega}_{x} - \left(\Gamma_{yz} - \Omega_{y}\Omega_{z}\right) & -\left(\Gamma_{zz} + \Omega_{x}^{2} + \Omega_{y}^{2}\right) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{x} \\ \boldsymbol{\rho}_{y} \\ \boldsymbol{\rho}_{z} \end{pmatrix}$$

ij: Gravity gradient **2**i: Rotation

CAMOS

Nov. 2002

: Displacement

Accelerometer arrays enable highaccuracy navigation.

See PLANS 2002, A. Zorn, Dynamics Research Corporation

## Compact prototype under development



6dof motion testing platform



Component sub-systems under development

CAMOS

Nov. 2002

Field-ready prototype available FY03, est.

### Ground-based accelerometer



Under development: 2.75"x1.75", 10<sup>-8</sup> g/Hz<sup>1/2</sup> 2-axis accelerometer CAMOS

Nov. 2002



CAMOS Nov. 2002

## **BEC** impact



### Atom lasers



Bose-Einstein Condensation of a dilute Rb atomic vapor

*Revolution in production of bright, coherent atomic sources* 



1<sup>st</sup> Atom Laser, MIT

# Atom interferometry with atom lasers

#### CAMOS Nov. 2002



Interference of two overlapping Bose-Einstein condensates: demonstrates analogy with laser light sources (Ketterle, MIT)

Demonstration of coherence properties and possible applications



Measurement of g with a modelocked atom laser (Yale)

Proof of principle with potential for 1000 x improvement in gravimeter sensitivity.

Pulse output frequency is proportional to g.

#### Nov. 2002 Next generation atom-optic devices

CAMOS

	Atomic Source	Atom Optics	Read-out
Current Generation	<ul> <li>Laser cooled atoms</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Photon recoil</li> <li>Free-space diffraction grating</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Shot-noise limited</li> </ul>
Next Generation	• Atom lasers	• Waveguides	<ul> <li>Quantum correlated state (1/N)</li> </ul>

Next generation pay-off: compact, ultra-sensitive accelerometer, gyroscopes, clocks

Possible 1000x performance gain in next generation sensors



## Waveguide AI sensor types

Waveguide devices:

Unproven (coherence has yet to be demonstrated!)

Likely very high sensitivity, intermediate accuracy.

Gyroscope, gravity gradient, and accelerometer topologies exist.



Technology vision: Compact, ultra-sensitive (1000x existing sensors), inexpensive sensors



## Atom Waveguides

Basic atom guiding concepts have been demonstrated by several groups.



Prentiss, Harvard



Anderson, JILA



MPQ, Garching

Achieved Bose-Einstein condensation in microtrap.

## Matter-wave amplification

#### Experiment



Ketterle, MIT

Laboratory demonstration of coherent matter-wave amplification

#### Results



Interference of an (unamplified) seed pulse with a reference pulse of equal intensity. A weaker seed pulse led to a reduced fringe contrast.

When the weak seed pulse was amplified, an increase of the fringe contrast provided the proof for the phasecoherence of the atom amplification process

CAMOS

Nov. 2002

# Atom interferometry with squeezed state atom lasers

Quantum mechanics of many-particle systems allows for measurement sensitivities below the standard (classical) shot-noise limit.

Atom interferometry with squeezed states.

Possible 1000-fold improvement in sensor sensitivity.

Laboratory demonstration of squeezed-state formation



CAMOS

Nov. 2002

# Heisenberg interferometry with degenerate Bose gases

Sub shot-noise interferometry with squeezed/Fock states: (following Holland and Burnett, 1993)



Dual Fock state at input ports

Number measurements at output ports

Capable of resolving phase shifts at Heisenberg limit  $(\Delta \phi \sim 1/N).$ 

CAMOS

Nov. 2002

Possible significant gains for interferometer sensitivity

Bouyer and Kasevich, PRA, 1996 (for BEC atoms)

CAMOS Nov. 2002

### Correlated atom systems

## BEC in lattice potentials



Our regime:

- 1-D
- 100's of atoms per site, 10's of lattice sites
- Weak tunneling (0.01 – 300 Hz, tunable)
- Strong interactions (100 – 500 Hz mean field per particle)

#### Why interesting?

 Quantum states highly correlated/entangled

CAMOS

Nov. 2002

- Growing links with CM Theory/QPT
- Possible applications to precision measurement/quantum information

#### This talk

- Ground state properties
- Dynamic response
  - In-situ transport measurements



### **Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian**

$$\begin{split} H &= \gamma \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j + \frac{1}{2} g \beta_i \sum_i \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i - \sum_i \mu_i \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_i \\ \text{tunneling} & \text{mean-field} & \text{external potential} \end{split}$$

Solve for ground-state and dynamics for ~3000 atoms occupying 16 lattice sites in harmonic potential.

Problem: Hilbert space is huge. Approximations required.

## Transport measurement: Center-of-mass oscillation





Image of lattice array.

CAMOS

Nov. 2002

~150 atoms in central well.

Suddenly displace harmonic potential, leaving corrugated potential fixed.

Observe subsequent dynamic evolution of array center-ofmass (oscillation amplitude and frequency).





## Quantum insulating cross-over

 $E_J \equiv N\gamma = (\# \text{ atoms})(\text{tunneling freq.})$  $E_C \equiv g\beta = (\text{mean field energy per atom})$ 



## Related work from MPQ

MI transition in 3D optical lattice. Approximately 3 atoms per lattice site.



I. Bloch, Nature, 2002.

CAMOS

Nov. 2002

### Future

- Quantum critical region
  - Quantum phase transitions
- Rotating lattice sites
  - Analog to fractional quantum Hall
- Fermions in lattice
  - High Tc analog
- QIS
  - Physics-based (use atoms in lattice to understand CM systems)
  - New algorithms for factorization